IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 32877

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2008 Unpublished Opinion No. 505
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: June 9, 2008
v.	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
MATTHEW SCOTT NUUVALI,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Darla S. Williamson, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for one count of aggravated battery and one count of using a firearm during the commission of a crime, <u>affirmed</u>.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Jason C. Pintler, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

PER CURIAM

Matthew Scott Nuuvali was convicted of one count of aggravated battery with a sentence enhancement for using a firearm during the commission of a crime, Idaho Code §§ 18-903(c), -907(b), 204, 19-2520. The district court imposed a unified sentence of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years. Nuuvali appeals, contending that the sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho

722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Nuuvali's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.